## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/527,659	HEUKELBACH ET AL.	HEUKELBACH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Nathan M. Nutter	1796			

	Nathan M. Nutter	1796			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Nathan M. Nutter.	(3)				
(2) Eamonn P. Morrison.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 18 March 2010.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e)			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-4,7-15,18-20 and 22-24</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Oda et al (US 5,876,8	<u>14)</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		if an agreement	was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Watte U Hutte		·			
/Nathan M. Notter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796					

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposes to amend the claims to recite the concept that the cyclic olefin resin (COC) is employed in the film, either in a blend with polyolefin in a single layer, or as the layer composition of a multi-layer thermoformable film. Such recitation would be deemed to overcome the rejection since the base reference Oda et al employs the resin as a sole constituent of the layer, and only then in lamination with other polyolefins. Any and all responses will be given full consideration.